United States Department of the Interior National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places Registration Form

125-0000-0215

This form is for use in nominating or requesting determinations of eligibility for individual properties or districts. See instructions in *Guidelines* for Completing National Register Forms (National Register Bulletin 16). Complete each item by marking "x" in the appropriate box or by entering the requested information. If an item does not apply to the property being documented, enter "N/A" for "not applicable." For functions, styles, materials, and areas of significance, enter only the categories and subcategories listed in the instructions. For additional space use continuation sheets (Form 10-900a). Type all entries.

(Form 10-900a). Type all entries.	
Name of Property	
historic name Onion Creek Bridge	
other names/site number Same	
2. Location .5 mile south on Overlook Drive from U.S. 1	166
street & number Unmarked county road	not for publication
city, town Coffeyville	X vicinity
state Kansas code KS county Mc	ontgomery code 125 zip code 67337
3. Classification	
Ownership of Property Category of Property	Number of Resources within Property
privatebuilding(s)	Contributing Noncontributing
x public-local district	buildings
public-State site	sites
public-Federal X structure	structures
Object	objects
branch d	1 Total
Name of related multiple property listing:	Number of contributing resources previously
Metal Truss Bridges in Kansas	listed in the National Register 0
State/Federal Agency Certification	
As the designated authority under the National Historic Preser nomination request for determination of eligibility meets National Register of Historic Places and meets the procedural In my opinion, the property meets does not meet the N	the documentation standards for registering properties in the and professional requirements set forth in 36 CFR Part 60. lational Register criteria. See continuation sheet.
nomination request for determination of eligibility meets National Register of Historic Places and meets the procedural	the documentation standards for registering properties in the and professional requirements set forth in 36 CFR Part 60.
nomination request for determination of eligibility moets National Register of Historic Places and meets the procedural In my opinion, the property meets does not meet the N	the documentation standards for registering properties in the and professional requirements set forth in 36 CFR Part 60. lational Register criteria. See continuation sheet. Nov. 16, 1989
nomination request for determination of eligibility moets National Register of Historic Places and meets the procedural In my opinion, the property meets does not meet the N Signature of certifying official	the documentation standards for registering properties in the and professional requirements set forth in 36 CFR Part 60. lational Register criteria. See continuation sheet. Nov. 16, 1989 Date
nomination request for determination of eligibility moets National Register of Historic Places and meets the procedural In my opinion, the property meets does not meet the N Signature of certifying official State or Foderal agency and bureau	the documentation standards for registering properties in the and professional requirements set forth in 36 CFR Part 60. lational Register criteria. See continuation sheet. Nov. 16, 1989 Date
nomination request for determination of eligibility moets National Register of Historic Places and meets the procedural In my opinion, the property meets does not meet the N Signature of certifying official State or Foderal agency and bureau In my opinion, the property meets does not meet the N Signature of commenting or other official	the documentation standards for registering properties in the and professional requirements set forth in 36 CFR Part 60. lational Register criteria. See continuation sheet. Nov. 16, 1989 Date
nomination request for determination of eligibility moets National Register of Historic Places and meets the procedural In my opinion, the property meets does not moet the N Signature of certifying official State or Foderal agency and bureau In my opinion, the property meets does not meet the N	the documentation standards for registering properties in the and professional requirements set forth in 36 CFR Part 60. lational Register criteria. See continuation sheet. Nov. 16, 1989 Date
nomination request for determination of eligibility moets National Register of Historic Places and meets the procedural In my opinion, the property meets does not meet the N Signature of certifying official State or Federal agency and bureau In my opinion, the property meets does not meet the N Signature of commenting or other official State or Federal agency and bureau	ational Register criteria. See continuation sheet.
nomination request for determination of eligibility moets National Register of Historic Places and meets the procedural In my opinion, the property meets does not meet the N Signature of certifying official State or Federal agency and bureau In my opinion, the property meets does not meet the N Signature of commenting or other official State or Federal agency and bureau National Park Service Certification	the documentation standards for registering properties in the and professional requirements set forth in 36 CFR Part 60. lational Register criteria. See continuation sheet. Nov. 16, 1989 Date
nomination roquest for determination of eligibility moets National Register of Historic Places and meets the procedural In my opinion, the property meets does not meet the N Signature of certifying official In my opinion, the property meets does not meet the N Signature of commenting or other official State or Federal agency and bureau State or Federal agency and bureau National Park Service Certification Thereby, certify that this property is:	the documentation standards for registering properties in the and professional requirements set forth in 36 CFR Part 60. lational Register criteria. See continuation sheet. Nov. 16, 1989 Date
nomination request for determination of eligibility moets National Register of Historic Places and meets the procedural In my opinion, the property meets does not moet the N Signature of certifying official State or Federal agency and bureau In my opinion, the property meets does not meet the N Signature of commenting or other official State or Federal agency and bureau State or Federal agency and bureau National Park Service Certification hereby, certify that this property is: entered in the National Register.	the documentation standards for registering properties in the and professional requirements set forth in 36 CFR Part 60. lational Register criteria. See continuation sheet. Nov. 16, 1989 Date
nomination request for determination of eligibility moets National Register of Historic Places and meets the procedural In my opinion, the property meets does not meet the N Signature of certifying official State or Federal agency and bureau In my opinion, the property meets does not meet the N Signature of commenting or other official State or Federal agency and bureau State or Federal agency and bureau National Park Service Certification hereby, certify that this property is: entered in the National Register. See continuation sheet.	the documentation standards for registering properties in the and professional requirements set forth in 36 CFR Part 60. lational Register criteria. See continuation sheet. Nov. 16, 1989 Date
nomination request for determination of eligibility moets National Register of Historic Places and meets the procedural In my opinion, the property meets does not meet the N Signature of certifying official State or Federal agency and bureau In my opinion, the property meets does not meet the N Signature of commenting or other official State or Federal agency and bureau State or Federal agency and bureau National Park Service Certification hereby, certify that this property is: entered in the National Register. See continuation sheet. determined eligible for the National	the documentation standards for registering properties in the and professional requirements set forth in 36 CFR Part 60. lational Register criteria. See continuation sheet. Nov. 16, 1989 Date
nomination request for determination of eligibility moets National Register of Historic Places and meets the procedural In my opinion, the property meets does not meet the N Signature of certifying official State or Federal agency and bureau In my opinion, the property meets does not meet the N Signature of commenting or other official State or Federal agency and bureau State or Federal agency and bureau National Park Service Certification hereby, certify that this property is: entered in the National Register. See continuation sheet. determined eligible for the National Register. See continuation sheet.	the documentation standards for registering properties in the and professional requirements set forth in 36 CFR Part 60. lational Register criteria. See continuation sheet. Nov. 16, 1989 Date
nomination request for determination of eligibility moets National Register of Historic Places and meets the procedural In my opinion, the property meets does not meet the N Signature of certifying official State or Federal agency and bureau In my opinion, the property meets does not meet the N Signature of commenting or other official State or Federal agency and bureau 5. National Park Service Certification hereby, certify that this property is: entered in the National Register. See continuation sheet. determined eligible for the National Register. See continuation sheet. determined not eligible for the	the documentation standards for registering properties in the and professional requirements set forth in 36 CFR Part 60. lational Register criteria. See continuation sheet. Nov. 16, 1989 Date
nomination request for determination of eligibility moets National Register of Historic Places and meets the procedural In my opinion, the property meets does not meet the N Signature of certifying official State or Federal agency and bureau In my opinion, the property meets does not meet the N Signature of commenting or other official State or Federal agency and bureau State or Federal agency and bureau National Park Service Certification hereby, certify that this property is: entered in the National Register. See continuation sheet. determined eligible for the National Register. See continuation sheet.	the documentation standards for registering properties in the and professional requirements set forth in 36 CFR Part 60. lational Register criteria. See continuation sheet. Nov. 16, 1989 Date
nomination request for determination of eligibility moets National Register of Historic Places and meets the procedural In my opinion, the property meets does not meet the N Signature of certifying official State or Federal agency and bureau In my opinion, the property meets does not meet the N Signature of commenting or other official State or Federal agency and bureau 5. National Park Service Certification hereby, certify that this property is: entered in the National Register. See continuation sheet. determined eligible for the National Register. See continuation sheet. determined not eligible for the National Register.	ational Register criteria. See continuation sheet.
In omination request for determination of eligibility moets National Register of Historic Places and meets the procedural In my opinion, the property meets does not moet the N Signature of certifying official State or Federal agency and bureau In my opinion, the property meets does not meet the N Signature of commenting or other official State or Federal agency and bureau 5. National Park Service Certification , hereby, certify that this property is: entered in the National Register. See continuation sheet. determined eligible for the National Register. See continuation sheet. determined not eligible for the National Register. removed from the National Register.	ational Register criteria. See continuation sheet.
nomination roquest for determination of eligibility moets National Register of Historic Places and meets the procedural In my opinion, the property meets does not meet the N Signature of certifying official State or Federal agency and bureau In my opinion, the property meets does not meet the N Signature of commenting or other official State or Federal agency and bureau 5. National Park Service Certification hereby, certify that this property is: entered in the National Register. See continuation sheet. determined eligible for the National Register. See continuation sheet. determined not eligible for the National Register.	the documentation standards for registering properties in the and professional requirements set forth in 36 CFR Part 60. lational Register criteria. See continuation sheet. Nov. 16, 1989 Date

6. Function or Use	AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER			
Historic Functions (enter catego is from instructions)	Current I tions (enter categories from instructions)			
Transportation: Road Related (Vehicular) Bridge	Transportation: Road Related (Vehicular) Bridge			
Current respective or the constitution of the	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
7. Description	The French Brown State His Library State Co. Co.			
Architectural Classification	Materials (enter categories from instructions)			
(enter categories from instructions)				
	foundation			
Other: Parker Through Truss	walls			
	1001			
	other Metal: steel			

Describe present and historic physical appearance.

The Onion Creek bridge, erected in 1911, is a pin connected Pratt through truss. It is 104 feet long and 144.5 feet wide. The concrete deck lies 20.5 feet above the water level.

The members of a truss bridge are designated either as chord members or web members. Chord members are those mainly defining the outlines of the structure and they are termed lower or upper chord members depending on whether they are found at the bottom or the top of the structure. Members between the chords are web members. They are called posts or ties if they sustain compression or tension respectively. In the instance of the Onion Creek bridge, as with all Parker trusses, the web members are alternately vertical and inclined. The inclined members are in tension and the verticals in compression.

As with all Parker trusses, the bridge features a polygonal top chord. It also features vertical end posts. In the Onion Creek bridge, the top chords and endposts are fabricated from two steel channels, a top plate and tied together with single bar lattice. The posts are fabricated from channel plate and single bar lattice. The ties consist of flat bars. The portal bracing is fabricated from angle stock and flat bars and forms a lattice design. Each end post is topped with a spherical finial. All main connections are pinned. It retains a high degree of structural integrity.

See	cont	inuat	ion	shee
-----	------	-------	-----	------

8. Statement of Significance	r regional Bloom of the land of the forest and production
Certifying official has considered significance of this property in relation to other properties: nationally	
Applicable National Register Criteria A B XC D	
Criteria Considerations (Exceptions)	
Areas of Significance (enter categories from instructions) Engineering Period of Significance 1911	Significant Dates
Transportation 1911	1911
Cultural Affiliation	
n/a	
Significant Person Architect/Builder n/a Western Bridge Company	

State significance of property, and justify criteria, criteria considerations, and areas and periods of significance noted above.

The great evolution of truss bridge construction began in the United States soon after the publication of Squire Whipple's historic work on stresses in 1840. Prior to this the design work was essentially that of trial and error, experience and judgement. The Warren and Pratt trusses were rational designs and lent themselves readily to the system of analysis postulated by Whipple. They were therefore readily and rapidly accepted and formed the foundation for a greater part of American Truss design. The Parker polygonal top chord is a variant of the Pratt truss. This arched top chord made for a stronger bridge while using the same amount of material.

The use of steel was growing in popularity in Kansas by 1911. The greater strength of steel over wrought iron allowed the use of fewer, though more massive members. Steel bridges make a definite first impression on the viewer. As David Weitzman reports in his Traces of the Past, the steel bridge appears "more massive, ponderous, more earthbound," than its wrought iron relative. In spite of this fact, the Onion Creek bridge retains a light and almost airy appearance. The counters, vibration rods and struts needed for stability with the older pin connected designs are not found on the Onion Creek bridge even though all main connections are made with the use of a pin.

The vertical end posts or batter braces were generally deemed uneconomical to build in the late nineteenth century. Inclined braces, it was found, also contributed to the overall rigidity of the truss by facilitating a better distribution of stresses.

The bridge is unique in that it is one of only two vertical end post Parker trusses in Kansas, and retains a high degree of its integrity. It is also unique in the fact that it is the earliest found use of a concrete floor on a metal truss bridge in the state. Research into inventories of bridges conducted by various other states failed to locate any similar structures.

X See continuation sheet

United States Department of the Interior National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section number 8 Page 1

In a letter dated April 30, 1985, Eric N. DeLony, Principal Architect, Historic American Engineering Record, stated, "Until proven otherwise, we can assume that the high-portal, Parker truss type does not exist in other Great Plains states. We can conclude that the Long Shoals bridge (1902) and the Onion Creek bridge (1911) are two unusual and possibly unique variations of the Parker truss.

The Kansas Department of Transportation (KDOT) carried out a statewide inventory of historic bridges between 1980 and 1983. The bridges to be included were identified through computer printouts developed by KDOT, from information supplied by the counties (since almost all of the historic bridges were located on secondary rather than the primary road system), and by direct observation by field personnel. All bridges were inspected by KDOT personnel to verify the data on file. That information was jointly evaluated by representatives of KDOT, Kansas State Historical Society, and the State Historic Preservation Officer.

Each structure was evaluated using a points rating system adapted from the points evaluation rating developed by the Ohio Department of Transportation and Ohio Historic Preservation Office. Consideration was given to areas such as age, builder, number of spans, length, special features, history, integrity, surviving numbers, and preservation potential.

In many instances there is little information about individual structures. Often bridge plaques which may have contained information have been removed, or the county's records are not complete or have been destroyed. Due to the large numbers of similar structures there is often little to choose from in differentiating among individual bridges other than condition and the likelihood of preservation.

The purpose of the KDOT study and subsequent evaluation was to identify a representative selection of bridges of each class. Through this approach KDOT and KSHS hope to preserve for posterity some examples of each type.

9. Major Bibliographical Reforences	The state of the s
Victor C. Darnell, American Bridge Buildin Society for Industrial Archeology Occ	
David Weitzman, Traces of the Past: A Fiel New York: Charles Schribner's Sons,	
James L. Cooper, <u>Iron Monuments to Distant</u> F.H.W.A., Indiana Dept. of Highways, N.P.S., 1987.	
Dan G. Deibler, <u>A Survey and Photographic</u> <u>in Virginia</u> , Charlottesville: Virgin Research Council, 1975.	
	See continuation sheet
Previous documentation on file (NPS): preliminary determination of individual listing (36 CFR 67) has been requested previously listed in the National Register previously determined eligible by the National Register designated a National Historic Landmark recorded by Historic American Buildings Survey # recorded by Historic American Engineering Record #	Primary location of additional data: X State historic preservation office Other State agency Federal agency Local government University Other Specify repository: Kansas State Historical Society
40 Consending Pale	
10. Geographical Data Acreage of property less than one acre	
Acreage of property	
Zone Easting Northing	Zone Easting Northing
	See continuation sheet
Verbal Boundary Description The nominated property is located on the S section 4, township 35S, range 16E, on a t northeast corner is represented by the nor Beginning at the northeast corner the boun 14.5' northwest, 104' northeast, and 14.5' beginning.	ract measuring 104' x 14.5' whose theast corner of the bridge.
Boundary Justification	
The boundary includes only that area that the nominated property.	is historically associated with
	See continuation sheet
11. Form Prepared By	
name/title Larry Jochims organization Kansas State Historical Society	data Control - 20 1000
organization Kansas State Historical Society street & number 120 W. 10th	date <u>September 20, 1989</u> telephone <u>(913) 296-3251</u>
city or townTopeka	state KS zip code 66612
on the state of th	

United States Department of the Interior National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section number 9 Page 1

- Letter, Eric N. Delony, to Richard Pankratz, April 30, 1985, located at Kansas State Historical Society, Topeka, Kansas.
- Charles E. Greene, <u>Trusses and Arches</u>, Part II, New York: John Wiley and Sons, 1881, p. 47.
- "Commissioner's Meeting," Independence, South Kansas Tribune, May 10, 1911, p. 4.
- "Bridge Contracts Let," Coffeyville Weekly Journal, May 12, 1911, p. 8.

